30(b)(6) DEPOSITION of LIEUTENANT PETER NIGRELLI,
Non-Party Witness, taken by Plaintiff, pursuant to
Article 31 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules of
Testimony, and Order, held at the above-noted time and
place, before Saige M. Porcelli, a Stenotype Reporter
and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.

```
2
1
                                                               2
2
    APPEARANCES:
3
4
       ROTH & ROTH, LLP
            Attorneys for Plaintiff
5
            192 Lexington Avenue - Suite 802
            New York, New York 10016
 6
       BY: ELLIOT SHIELDS, ESQ.
7
8
        CITY OF ROCHESTER
9
            Attorneys for Defendant
            CITY OF ROCHESTER
10
            30 Church Street - Room 400A
            Rochester, New York 14614
11
       BY: PEACHIE JONES, ESQ.
12
13
        CITY OF BUFFALO LAW DEPARTMENT
14
            Attorneys for Non-Party Witness
            CITY OF BUFFALO
15
            65 Niagara Square - Room 1101
            Buffalo, New York 14202
16
       BY: WILLIAM MATHEWSON, ESQ.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
3
                                                              3
2
               FEDERAL
                               STIPULATIONS
 3
       IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the
5
    attorneys for the respective parties herein, that the
     sealing, filing and certification of the within
6
    deposition be waived;
8
        IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all
    objections, except as to form, are reserved to the time
9
    of trial;
10
11
        IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the
12
    transcript of this deposition may be signed before any
13
    Notary Public, with the same force and effect as if
14
     signed before a clerk or Judge of the Court;
15
        IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all rights
    provided to all parties by the F.R.C.P. cannot be deemed
16
17
    waived, and the appropriate sections of the F.R.C.P.
18
    shall be controlling with respect thereto.
19
20
                            00000
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
4
1
                                                               4
2
                     PROCEEDINGS
3
                 THE REPORTER: The attorneys participating
            in this deposition acknowledge that I am not
4
5
            physically present in the deposition room and
6
            that I will be reporting this deposition
            remotely.
8
                 They further acknowledge that, in lieu of an
9
            oath administered in person, I will administer
10
            the oath remotely.
11
                 The parties and their counsel consent to this
12
            arrangement and waive any objections to this
13
           manner of reporting. Please indicate your
14
            agreement by stating your name and your agreement
15
            on the record.
16
                 MR. SHIELDS: Agreed.
17
                 MS. JONES:
                             Agreed.
18
                 MR. MATHEWSON: Agreed.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
21
1
                                                              21
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
2
     specifically related to police and dog encounter
 3
     training?
            I don't recall.
 5
            Do you recall at any point during your time with
 6
     the Buffalo Police Department when dog encounter
     training changed?
8
            This was the first dog encounter training that I
 9
     recall having.
10
            In 2017?
        0
11
            Yes, sir.
        Α
12
            Do you know why the training changed for you in
        Q
13
     2017?
14
        Α
            I don't want to speculate, but I believe that the
     atmosphere around dogs being discharged, dispatched upon
15
     entries from the SWAT team was an issue locally and our
16
17
     team at the time started changing some of our methods of
     what we would do, so I am guessing that may have been
18
19
     the reason why, sir, why this was brought forward and
20
     pushed forward.
21
            But you don't remember specifically?
22
        Α
            Correct.
23
            There's different dates and different years that
        0
24
     people took the training, correct?
25
        Α
            Yes, sir.
```

```
22
                                                              22
1
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
2
            It looks like the training was implemented at
        0
3
     least in 2014, right, because that's when John Beyer
    took it?
4
5
            Yes, sir, by looking at the dates, Lieutenant
    Beyer would have been one of the first ones who took it
6
     in 2014.
8
            Do you know why or do you have any recollection
9
    of anything that happened in 2014 that might have been
10
     the reason for any change in training?
11
            No, sir.
12
            And do you have any idea what the effect of the
        0
13
    new training was?
14
            Because I wear the two hats from the patrol and
15
    tactical side, I would say that the news article that
    you referred to from WGRZ, it's kind of on the not
16
17
    misinformation side, but a lot of the dogs that were
18
    shot prior would have been upon narcotic search warrants
19
     or SWAT entries, not so much from a patrol aspect.
20
            So I think with the training and the patrol
21
    officers becoming aware of other things that were pushed
22
    out for liability sake and the things that go with
23
    training, that may have changed some of the tactics of
24
    the patrol officer standpoint.
25
            After the training was implemented, were less
```

```
23
                                                              23
1
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
2
     dogs shot in Buffalo?
 3
            Patrol wise, the amount of dogs get shot patrol
     wise in the city and I still keep track of department
 4
 5
    memos and things that come across, we don't have many
 6
     dogs getting shot in the city and I can tell you as the
     SWAT end of it, from our entries, since I took over, we
8
     have shot one dog in almost three full years.
9
            And can you tell me if that was less dogs that
        Q
10
     were shot than prior to this training being implemented
11
     in 2014?
12
        Α
            Yes, absolutely.
13
        0
            So it sounds like since the training was
14
     implemented, less dogs were shot in Buffalo?
15
            Yes, sir.
16
            And, Lieutenant, do you remember anything
17
     specific about the training, for example, if it was
18
     developed by the City of Buffalo or some other police
19
     agency?
20
            I don't recall if it was a City of Buffalo
21
     training or if they re-sourced it out.
22
            But if you had reviewed the training materials,
23
     that would have been something that could have refreshed
24
     your recollection about that?
25
        Α
            It may have.
```

```
24
1
                                                              24
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
 2
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, Document, marked for
 3
                 identification.)
 4
        Q
            Lieutenant, do you recognize this document, which
 5
     at the top says, "10.0 incidents involving animals"?
 6
            Yes, that's from our Buffalo Police manual of
7
     procedure.
8
            Is that like a book of all of the policies and
9
     procedures for the City of Buffalo Police Departments?
10
            Yes, sir.
        Α
11
            Did you review this document prior to coming in
12
     and preparing to testify today?
13
        Α
            I reviewed this for SWAT purposes, so we go over
14
     this routinely because like I said, with our tactics, we
15
    have totally changed how we work as far as dealing with
     dogs, so we will go over this time and time with regard
16
17
     to animal bite cases, but in regards to dealing with
18
     animals.
19
            You changed how you deal with dogs; is that what
20
     you just said?
21
            Yes, we started in 2020 -- before I took over, it
22
     was changed how we went over our process in technical
23
     operations when dealing with dogs.
24
            At first you said in 2020 and then you said
25
     before you took over, so you took over in 2019, so it
```

```
33
                                                              33
1
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
2
     will cooperate fully with personnel of the health
 3
     departments" and then it goes under dog control
     violation summons, which number two it states, "if
 5
     firearm is used, it shall be reported via blue team."
 6
            Does this look like to you that it's a full
     document or that it might be missing a page between 10.3
8
     and then it skips to 10.5?
9
            It looks like it might be out of order.
        Α
10
            This 10.3 looks different than the 10.3 above; is
11
     that right?
12
            Yes, this is the first time that I am seeing this
13
     screen.
14
            From your knowledge of this specific police
     department policy, do you know if this contains any
15
     specific quidance about whether or not officers are
16
17
     allowed to discharge their firearms at dogs?
18
            At the bottom it starts covering what the
        Α
19
     supervisor's role would be.
20
            That would be under 10.4C; is that what you're
21
     referring to?
22
        Α
            Yes, sir.
23
            So you're saying the supervisor shall take
24
     whatever action is necessary to minimize danger to the
25
     officers and to the public?
```

```
34
1
                                                              34
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
 2
       Α
            Yes, sir.
 3
        Q
            Would it be fair to say that this document states
 4
     that the only time an officer can fire their weapon
 5
     without supervisor approval at a dog is to ward off an
 6
     attack; is that fair to say?
        Α
            Yes, sir.
8
        Q
            But at the same time, they have to ensure that
9
     there's no possibility that a stray bullet can strike a
10
     person?
11
        Α
            Yes, sir.
12
            Let's say there's a circumstance where there's a
        0
13
     dog running at an officer, but there are people in that
14
     same room or yard where the officer is being charged by
15
     a dog, would it be a violation of policy for the officer
16
     to discharge a firearm in that circumstance?
17
            If there are persons nearby, it's close to the
        Α
    MOP, but how you're describing it, it would be a
18
19
     violation of the policy.
            Let's say it's a backyard and there are two
20
21
     officers in the backyard and the owner enters the
22
     backyard with the dog, the dog sees the officers and
23
     runs at them and the officers discharge their firearm
24
     and the owner of the dog is downrange from the direction
25
     where they are shooting at the dog, would that be a
```

```
35
                                                              35
1
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
2
    violation of the Buffalo Police Department policy?
3
       Α
            Under 10.4C 1B, that would be a violation under
4
    the policy.
5
            Let's say officers are inside of a house or an
6
     apartment and the dog had been put into a bathroom and
    officers are speaking with the person in the apartment
8
    trying to get them to voluntarily leave for an arrest
9
    and while they are speaking to that person, the dog
10
    escapes the bathroom and the officers fire the weapon
11
    while inside of the house with the person there, under
12
    this policy would that be a violation?
13
       Α
            The description that you just gave, sir, yes.
14
            The reason is because it's unsafe, right, because
15
     someone can potentially be shot; is fair to say?
16
            Yes, any time that we fire our weapon, we are
17
     responsible for that round, no matter what.
18
            You agree that officers are never allowed to
        Q
19
    unnecessarily endanger members of the public?
20
            Correct.
       Α
21
            If there are two choices that can be made, the
        Q
22
     officer always has to choose the safer option?
23
       Α
            Correct.
24
            Are there any other portions of this specific
        Q
25
    policy regarding discharging a firearm at a dog?
```

```
36
1
                                                              36
               Lieutenant Peter Nigrelli
 2
            I believe it's all covered up to this point.
 3
        Q
            "If a firearm is used, it shall be reported via
    blue team", can you tell me what blue team is?
 4
 5
            The reporting system that we use for all use of
 6
     force incidents of the City of Buffalo Police
     Department.
8
                 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, Article, marked for
                 identification.)
 9
10
            I am going to put up Exhibit 4 and then ask you
11
     some questions about that.
12
        Α
            Okay.
13
            Can you see that article on your screen, sir?
14
        Α
            Yes.
15
            I will have you read the entire article.
        Q
16
        Α
            Okay.
17
            This article is dated November 14th, 2017; is
        0
18
     that right?
19
        Α
            Yes.
20
            One thing the article said is that members of the
21
     narcotics and members of the SWAT team receive extensive
22
     aggressive animal training, is there any additional
23
     training that the SWAT team receives other than what we
24
     spoke about regarding aggressive animals?
25
            We have a couple of different methods when we are
```